

UNIVERSITY OF HOHENHEIM

FACULTY OF BUSINESS, ECONOMICS AND SOCIAL SCIENCES



HOHENHEIM DISCUSSION PAPERS
IN BUSINESS, ECONOMICS AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

Institute of Health Care & Public Management

DISCUSSION PAPER 07-2015

INTERNET USE AND SUBJECTIVE WELL-BEING
IN CHINA

Peng Nie,
University of Hohenheim

Galit Nimrod,
Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Israel

Alfonso Sousa-Poza,
University of Hohenheim



www.wiso.uni-hohenheim.de

Discussion Paper 07-2015

Internet Use and Subjective Well-Being in China

Peng Nie
Galit Nimrod
Alfonso Sousa-Poza

Download this Discussion Paper from our homepage:

<https://wiso.uni-hohenheim.de/papers>

ISSN 2364-2076 (Printausgabe)
ISSN 2364-2084 (Internetausgabe)

Die Hohenheim Discussion Papers in Business, Economics and Social Sciences dienen der schnellen Verbreitung von Forschungsarbeiten der Fakultät Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften. Die Beiträge liegen in alleiniger Verantwortung der Autoren und stellen nicht notwendigerweise die Meinung der Fakultät Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften dar.

Hohenheim Discussion Papers in Business, Economics and Social Sciences are intended to make results of the Faculty of Business, Economics and Social Sciences research available to the public in order to encourage scientific discussion and suggestions for revisions. The authors are solely responsible for the contents which do not necessarily represent the opinion of the Faculty of Business, Economics and Social Sciences.

Internet Use and Subjective Well-Being in China

PENG NIE^{1*}, GALIT NIMROD², ALFONSO SOUSA-POZA¹

¹*Institute of Health Care & Public Management, University of Hohenheim, Germany*

²*Department of Communication Studies and The Center for Multidisciplinary Research in Aging, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Israel*

Abstract

Using data from the 2010 China Family Panel Studies, we analyze the association between Internet use and various measures of subjective well-being (SWB) in a sample of 16- to 60-year-old Chinese. Our analysis shows that although intensive Internet use is significantly associated with lower levels of SWB, we hardly observe any associations when the focus is on participation in specific online activities. Nevertheless, SWB depends on perceptions of Internet use; that is, the importance that different individuals ascribe to different purposes for using the Internet and how much they believe that their Internet use is displacing other activities. Our results suggest that, contrary to previous findings, differences in beneficial outcomes (the third level digital divide) do not necessarily arise from individuals' actual Internet use (the second level digital divide) but rather may result from their *subjective perceptions* of such usage. Our findings also point to a possible cultural factor that puts Chinese Internet users at psychological risk.

JEL Classification Codes: I10, D10, J10; Q53

Keywords: China; digital divides; depression; happiness; Internet use; life satisfaction

* Corresponding author: Peng Nie, e-mail: Peng_Nie@uni-hohenheim.de.

Internet Use and Subjective Well-Being in China

1 Introduction

By 2008, China had outstripped the U.S. to become the world's largest Internet user (Wang and Li 2012), with 618 million Chinese “netizens” in 2013 (China Internet Network Information Center [CINIC] 2014). Not only did the country's Internet penetration rate (the proportion of Internet users to total population) increase dramatically from 8.5% in 2005 to 45.8% in 2013 (CINIC 2014), but with a 2013 iGDP¹ of 4.4%, China's Internet economy is larger than those of the U.S., Germany, and France (Woetzel et al. 2014). In fact, Internet-fueled GDP growth is projected to account for 7% to 22% of China's total GDP increase between 2013 and 2025, thereby potentially translating into 4 trillion to 14 trillion yuan in annual GDP (Woetzel et al. 2014). It is thus not surprising that the Internet has given rise to a new lifestyle fabric that has fundamentally transformed Chinese daily life (CINIC 2014).

The rapid growth of Internet use in China—combined with the use of other Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) such as personal computers and mobile devices—may have a significant impact on individual well-being. Yet despite its growing importance, little is known about such effects among Chinese. China thus provides an interesting case study for exploring the relation between Internet use and Subjective Well-Being (SWB) in the context of a developing non-Western environment. Such is the purpose of this paper, in which we use data from the 2010 China Family Panel Studies (CFPS) to analyze Internet use and SWB among Chinese aged 16–60.

By conducting this analysis, we make several important contributions: First, by focusing on China, we expand the limited number of nationally representative studies outside the Western world. This expansion is important because the different attitudes to and perceptions of Internet use among those from different sociocultural backgrounds (see, e.g., Brosnan and Lee 1998; Li and Kirkup 2007) make it difficult to generalize results from developed societies. Second, because mixed results from prior studies are often attributable to different definitions of Internet use and/or varying measures of SWB (Valkenburg and Peter 2007), we use both positive (life satisfaction and happiness) and negative (depression) SWB measures.

¹ The iGDP indicator, which measures a country's Internet economy, employs the expenditure approach to calculate GDP. Generally, it sums up all activities associated with the creation and use of Internet networks and services (Woetzel et al. 2014).

We also employ two different methods for measuring Internet use: a macro approach that examines Internet use as a whole and a micro approach that focuses on specific online activities. We are thus able to produce a more differentiated picture of the association between Internet use and SWB. Third, by examining not only Internet use in practice but also attitudes toward Internet use, we provide valuable insights into the little-known mechanisms that drive the Internet use-SWB relation (Kross et al. 2013; Valkenburg and Peter 2007).² Fourth, unlike most previous research, which studies the population as a whole or focuses on specific age groups, we investigate the Internet use-SWB association across most of the adult life span, enabling exploration of whether this relation varies among different age groups. Fifth, this analysis is a primer in combining the study of Internet use-SWB associations with the exploration of perceived displacements in daily activities. Lastly, we examine the issue of endogeneity in Internet use, which constitutes an important step toward a fuller understanding of Internet use's impact on SWB.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: After reviewing the relevant prior literature, we outline the data and methodologies and then report the estimation results, beginning with descriptive statistics. We next identify the associations between different SWB measures and Internet use intensity (macro results) or different online functions (micro results). We also provide evidence of Internet use's effect on SWB by evaluating user perceptions of the importance of different purposes for Internet use and the sacrifices made to spend time online. Finally, we discuss the potential endogeneity associated with Internet use and then conclude the paper.

2 Prior studies

Although a burgeoning body of literature examines the Internet use-SWB relation, the nature of this association remains unclear, with some studies showing beneficial contributions and others pointing to harmful ones. These contradictions may arise for several reasons:

- First, studies use different measures of SWB, including positive measures such as life satisfaction and happiness (Katsamanis 2006; Kavetsos and Koutroumpis 2011; Kross et al. 2013; Lelkes 2013; Pénard et al. 2013; Sabatini and Sarracino 2014; Shotwell 2002) and negative measures such as depression or loneliness (Amichai-Hamburger and Ben-

² Valkenburg and Peter (2007) identify some potential mechanisms of Internet communication and well-being via social networks among Dutch adolescents aged 10–17.

Artzi 2003; Bakken et al. 2008; Bessiere et al. 2010; Campbell et al. 2006; Cotten et al. 2012; Ford and Ford 2009; Fortson et al. 2007; Franzen 2000; Kraut et al. 1998; Morrison and Gore 2010; Nimrod 2013; Shaw and Gant 2002; Tandoc et al. 2015). We are unaware of any studies that use both positive and negative measures except for Stepanikova et al. (2010), who employ life satisfaction and loneliness as SWB proxies in the hope of shedding light on their simultaneous effects on individual well-being.

- Second, studies differ in their approaches to Internet use, with most adopting either a macro approach (i.e., use vs. nonuse or intensity of use) or a micro approach (i.e., specific online activities). The studies that employ a macro approach are numerous and include, for example, the work of Amichai-Hamburger and Ben-Artzi (2003), Bakken et al. (2008), Campbell et al. (2006), Ceyhan and Ceyhan (2008), Cotten et al. (2012), Franzen (2000), Ford and Ford (2009), Katsamanis (2006), Kraut et al. (1998), Kross et al. (2013), Lelkes (2013), Pénard et al. (2013), Sabatini and Sarracino (2014), Tandoc et al. (2015), and Wickramasinghe and Ahmad (2013). Those using a micro approach, however, are somewhat fewer (see, for example, Bessiere et al. 2010; Cotten et al. 2011; Fortson et al. 2007; Morrison and Gore 2010; Nimrod 2013; Stepanikova et al. 2010). With the exception of three U.S. studies (Bessiere et al. 2010; Kraut et al. 2002; Stepanikova et al. 2010), we know of no other research that simultaneously applies a combined macro-micro approach that is crucial for accurately identifying the effects of Internet use on SWB.
- Third, nearly all prior studies focus on behavior (i.e., actual Internet use) and SWB, with only a few exploring the intervening psychological factors, such as perceptions or attitudes toward Internet use. One exception is the study by Selfhout et al. (2009), which shows that Dutch adolescents (with an average age of 15) who have perceived low-quality friendships surf the Internet for longer and are more likely to suffer from depression and social anxiety. Combining the study of behavior with such psychological factors may provide important insights on the mechanisms by which Internet use affects well-being.
- Fourth, whereas earlier studies examine the general population (Bessiere et al. 2010; Cotten et al. 2011; Fortson et al. 2007; Franzen 2000; Kraut et al. 2002; Morrison and Gore 2010; Pénard et al. 2013; Wickramasinghe and Ahmad 2013) or specific age groups, such as adolescents (Selfhout et al. 2009; Valkenburg and Peter 2007) or older adults

(Cotten et al. 2012; Ford and Ford 2009; Katsamanis 2006; Lelkes 2013; Shotwell 2002), we know of none that explores Internet use and well-being across the lifespan. Yet because individuals in different life stages (and different cohorts) may have different psychosocial needs, such exploration may explain many contradictions. That is, not only can individuals be expected to vary in their uses for the Internet but also in the benefits they gain from it.

- Fifth, the effect of Internet use on SWB depends heavily on the opportunity costs associated with the time spent online; that is, which activities are being displaced by Internet use. The various notions of media displacement suggest that an increase in the use of one medium comes at the expense of other media use and activities (symmetrical displacement) and that the functions of an old medium can be replaced by those of a new one (functional displacement). Both types of displacement are considered mechanisms that regulate media use (Newell et al. 2008). Yet, despite some research on how traditional media and social activities are displaced by new media use (Nossek et al. 2015; Mannell et al. 2005, respectively), we know of none that simultaneously examines the associations between the Internet use-SWB relation and perceived patterns of displacement. It should also be noted that exploring perceived patterns of displacement may be more valuable than actual displacement because they reflect users' *judgments* of their own behavior, which may more greatly impact SWB than the behavior itself.
- Finally, few studies actually address the causal relation between Internet use and SWB even though reverse causality and selection issues are omnipresent in such analyses. Of the few papers that address the endogeneity of Internet use, the most relevant for our study are those of Pénard et al. (2013), who analyze data from the Luxemburg section of the European Value Survey, and Sabatini and Sarracino (2014), who draw on 2010 and 2011 data from the Multipurpose Survey of Households in Italy. Pénard et al. (2013), using a two stage least squares (2SLS) approach with Internet diffusion among family as the instrument, find that Internet use has a significantly positive impact on SWB. In particular, they show that non-Internet users are less satisfied with life than Internet users and that the Internet has more influence on life satisfaction than on happiness. Sabatini and Sarracino (2014) also employ a 2SLS technique but use as their two instruments the share of the population within a residential region that has a DSL (digital subscriber line) connection or that has no fiber optics. Not only do these results indicate no association

between online networking and life satisfaction but their ordered probit estimation suggests that online networking is negatively correlated with life satisfaction.

Although the majority of the above research is for Western countries, a growing body of literature has also been emerging in China, with a primary focus on adolescence. As in Western countries, however, and for the same reasons as outlined above, the results are inconclusive. For example, Cao et al. (2011) find that, compared with normal Internet use, “problematic Internet use” (defined as scoring 50+ on the Young Internet Addiction Test³) increases the probability of psychosomatic symptoms and decreases life satisfaction levels among adolescents aged 10–24 in 8 Chinese cities. Likewise, Wu et al. (2013) show that, compared to nonaddictive adolescent Internet users, addictive adolescent users are more likely to have hyperactivity-impulsivity tendencies, while Lam and Peng (2010) identify a 2.5 times larger relative risk of depression in pathological Internet users aged 13–18 than in their nonpathological peers.⁴ Wang and Wang (2011), in contrast, find a positive correlation between online communication and SWB among adolescents aged 15–19 from a vocational school in the southwest of China, with such effects being stronger among males than females.

Our study, therefore, constitutes the first in-depth analysis of the Internet use-SWB relation in China and possible the first overall to combine into one study positive and negative SWB measures, macro and micro approaches, behavior and attitudes toward the Internet, Internet use and well-being across the life span, and perceived displacement patterns. As the conceptual framework for this combination, we adopt the paradigm of digital divides, in which one divisional level is associated with Internet connectivity and differentiates between users and nonusers while a second is related to the skills and abilities required for ICT use and distinguishes varying skill levels *among* ICT users (Hargittai 2002). A third level suggested more recently is linked to the various benefits of ICT use (e.g., learning and productivity) and is thus assumed to arise from the second level digital divide (Wei et al. 2011). By focusing on Internet users, our analyses of the Internet use-SWB relation allows additional exploration of the association between the second and third level digital divides; that is, between differences in usage and differences in usage outcomes. We also use an

³ Each item on the 20-item Young Internet Addiction Test (YIAT) is measured on a 5-point scale ranging from “1 = not at all” to “5 = always.”

⁴ Pathological use of the Internet is evaluated by the self-rated 20-item Young Internet Addiction Test, a 5-point scale with scores ranging from 20 to 100, which are then used to group addiction severity into three categories: normal = 20–49, moderate = 50–79, and severe = 80–100 (Lam and Peng, 2010). In Lam and Peng’s (2010) study, only 10 students scored 80 points or higher, so the researchers use a binary variable (1 = severe and moderate and 0 = normal) in their analysis.

Instrumental Variable (IV) approach to examine the endogeneity of Internet use in the first level digital divide, thereby shedding light on the causal impacts of Internet use on SWB. In doing so, this study contributes not only to our understanding of China but also to the general body of knowledge on Internet use and well-being.

3 Data and methods

3.1 Data

Our analysis is based on data from the China Family Panel Studies (CFPS), administered by Peking University's Institute of Social Science Survey, which currently encompasses two waves collected in 2010 and 2012 (Xie et al. 2014). Because it covers 25 provinces/municipalities/autonomous regions⁵ that represent 95% of the Chinese population, the CFPS is a nationally representative sample that captures both the socioeconomic development and the economic and noneconomic well-being of Chinese households (Xie, 2012). Because Internet use information is only available in the first (2010) survey wave, we restrict our analysis to these data and extrapolate a sample of 4,686 Chinese Internet users aged 16–60. Because the CFPS uses a multistage sampling design, we also take into account clustering at the village/neighborhood levels (Ren and Treiman 2014).

3.1.1 SWB measures

Our main proxies of SWB are life satisfaction, happiness, and depression. The first two, based on the questions “How satisfied are you with your life?” and “How happy are you?”, respectively, are measured on a 5-point scale ranging from 1 = very unsatisfied/very unhappy to 5 = very satisfied/very happy. Depression is measured on a scale ranging from 6 to 30 based on the summed scores for 6 items asking respondents how often in the previous month they experienced each of the following depression-related conditions:

1. Feel depressed and cannot cheer up;
2. Feel nervous;
3. Feel agitated or upset and cannot remain calm;
4. Feel hopeless about the future;
5. Feel that everything is difficult; and
6. Think life is meaningless.

⁵ The 2010 CFPS encompasses 14,960 Chinese households and 42,590 individuals (0<age≤110), excluding Hong Kong, Macao, Taiwan, Xinjiang, Qinghai, Inner Mongolia, Ningxia, and Hainan. Detailed information about sampling design is available in Xie (2012).

The responses are coded as follows: 1 = almost every day, 2 = two or three times a week, 3 = two or three times a month, 4 = once a month, and 5 = never.

3.1.2 Internet use

Internet use is measured using the two approaches to exploring second level digital divides; namely, Internet use intensity in hours/day (macro approach) and frequency of use measured on a 4-point scale (1 = rarely, 2 = several times/month, 3 = several times/week, and 4 = almost every day) or in days/week of different online functions (micro approach). These latter include search engines (e.g., Google/Baidu), business websites, Social Networking Services (SNSs, such as Facebook/Renren), blogs, games, emails, and two portals offering a variety of online services, QQ and MSN. An example item for the measure of use is “How many days per week on average do you use MSN messenger during nonvacation time?” It should be noted that in our analysis, we employ the intensity of Internet use primarily to capture individual exposure to the Internet (Pénard et al. 2013) but use Internet participation to solve potential issues of selection bias and endogeneity.

The first online portal QQ, operated by the Chinese firm Tencent, is a popular chatting platform in China, which can also be used for blogging, playing games, listening to music, and reading news (Xiao 2009). Another popular portal is MSN, operated by the Microsoft Corporation, which is more oriented to white-collar workers and thus regarded as a good communication tool in the workplace (Meng and Zuo 2008). These two portals, however, have different privacy protection policies, with QQ having lower privacy protection than MSN and thereby establishing a low-barrier system in which strangers can contact each other more easily (Meng and Zuo 2008). Because these portals differ in their privacy protection policies, target groups, and prevalence, we include both in our analysis.

3.1.3 Attitudes/motivation and perceived displacement patterns

Attitudes toward the various Internet functions were measured by rating the importance of different purposes for Internet use: “During the most recent nonvacation month, how important were the purposes below for your Internet use?”

1. Entertainment;
2. Study;
3. Work;

4. Social interaction;
5. Sharing innermost thoughts and feelings with Internet friends;
6. Seeking emotional support from Internet friends;
7. Seeking professional help from Internet friends; and
8. Diversion/distraction.

Ranking the importance could, to some extent, indicate the motivations for Internet use, a psychological factor that may help explain the Internet use-SWB associations. Although the responses are ranked on a 5-point scale from 1 = very unimportant to 5 = very important, we recode this variable into a dummy equal to 1 if the answer is important or very important and 0 otherwise.

To analyze what users give up to spend time online, we first introduce a rich set of variables that capture the time spent on daily activities, including sleeping; eating; housework; taking care of family members; full-time work; reading; watching TV/videos, and listening to radio/music; engaging in sports; hobbies, and leisure activities (e.g., calligraphy, visiting museum or art galleries); and social activities (e.g., chatting with friends or visiting relatives and friends). The corresponding question is worded as follows: “In the previous nonvacation month, how many hours per day on average did you spend participating in the following activities?” This time use information is asked for weekdays and the weekend separately and then summed into one variable. It should also be noted that this measure is best regarded as an individual’s *perceived* time use because answers to such recall questions are known to be systematically biased and influenced by social desirability effects (Sousa-Poza 1999). We also employ a direct measure of the association between TV and Internet use based on the following item: “Since you began using the Internet, the time you spend watching TV has 1 = increased dramatically, 2 = increased a little, 3 = not changed, 4 = decreased a little, or 5 = decreased dramatically.” We directionally rescale this variable to range from 1 = decreased dramatically to 5 = increased dramatically.

3.1.4 Individual characteristics

We complement the above variables with the following set of individual characteristics: age, gender, employment status, marital status, education, self-reported relative income, and self-reported health. Age is first grouped into five categories (1 = $16 \leq \text{age} \leq 19$, 2 = $20 \leq \text{age} \leq 29$, 3 = $30 \leq \text{age} \leq 39$, 4 = $40 \leq \text{age} \leq 49$, and 5 = $50 \leq \text{age} \leq 60$) and then recoded as a dummy variable with $16 \leq \text{age} \leq 19$ as the reference group. Gender is a dummy equal to 1 if the respondent is male

and 0 otherwise, and employment status is equal to 1 if the respondent is currently employed (0 otherwise). Marital status is measured on a 5-point scale of 1 = unmarried, 2 = married, 3 = living together, 4 = divorced, and 5 = widowed and then recoded as a dummy with unmarried as the reference category. Education levels are coded as 1 = illiterate, 2 = primary school, 3 = middle school, 4 = high school, 5 = vocational school, and 6 = university or higher and then converted to a dummy with illiterate as the reference group. Because income and health are important predictors for SWB (Frey and Stutzer 2002), we include self-reported relative income and health (as in Pénard et al. 2013). The first is measured on a 5-point scale based on the question “What is your income level in your local area?”, which is rated from 1 = very low to 5 = very high. The second is captured as follows: “How would you rate your health status? 1 = healthy, 2 = fair, 3 = relatively unhealthy, 4 = unhealthy and 5 = very unhealthy,” which we again reverse directionally so that larger values denote better self-rated health. We also introduce an urban dummy (1 = urban, 0 = rural).

3.2 Estimation methods

Because our measures of life satisfaction and happiness are ordinal, we adopt an ordered probit estimation based on the following model:

$$SWB_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 IUI_i + \beta_2 IUI_i * AG + \beta_3 X_i + \beta_4 P + \beta_5 U + \varepsilon_i \quad (1)$$

where SWB_i represents the subjective well-being of individual i in terms of life satisfaction and happiness, and IUI_i denotes the intensity of individual i 's Internet use. AG is an age group dummy encompassing 1 = $16 \leq \text{age} \leq 19$, 2 = $20 \leq \text{age} \leq 29$, 3 = $30 \leq \text{age} \leq 39$, 4 = $40 \leq \text{age} \leq 49$, and 5 = $50 \leq \text{age} \leq 60$, with 16- to 19-year-olds as the reference group. X_i is a vector of individual i 's characteristics. P represents a provincial dummy, U denotes an urban dummy, β_1 is the key coefficient of interest, and ε_i is the error term. We also employ an OLS estimation to measure depression and an ordered probit model to assess the impact of QQ and MSN messenger use on SWB, both with specifications similar to equation (1).

3.2.1 Endogeneity

To account for any endogeneity stemming from the likelihood that some omitted variables may affect Internet use and SWB simultaneously and that more satisfied individuals may be more inclined to surf the Internet (Pénard et al. 2013), we adopt a two stage least squares

(2SLS) approach.⁶ In doing so, we follow Sabatini and Sarracino (2014) by using one instrument that can be readily shown to be exogenous to SWB but closely related to Internet use; namely, the number of Internet broadband access terminals (IBCT, measured in 10,000s) at the provincial or municipality level (Ministry of Industry and Information Technology of the People's Republic of China 2010). For this analysis, using the conditional mixed process (CMP) estimator proposed by Roodman (2011), we employ a probit model with Internet use as a binary variable for the first stage regression and then run the second stage regression using an ordered probit model in which the dependent variable is our SWB measure. The first stage regression is modeled as follows:

$$IU_i = 1(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Z + \alpha_2 X_i + \omega_i > 0), \omega_i \sim N(0, 1) \quad (4)$$

where IU_i denotes whether individual i surfs the Internet with a computer and is equal to 1 if yes and 0 otherwise. X_i is a vector of the covariates of individual i excluding the instrument variable, Z represents the instrument (i.e., the number of Internet broadband access terminals), and ω_i is the error term.

The model for the second stage estimation is written as

$$SWB_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y_i \leq 0 \\ 2, & \text{if } 0 < y_i \leq \pi_1 \\ \vdots & \\ 5, & \text{if } \pi_5 < y_i \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where $0 < \pi_1 < \pi_2 < \dots < \pi_5$, and the subscript i indicates individual i . π_i are unknown parameters to be estimated.

For the second stage,

$$SWB_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \widehat{IU}_i + \beta_2 X_i + \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i \sim N(0, 1) \quad (6)$$

where SWB_i denotes individual i 's subjective well-being (life satisfaction and happiness), \widehat{IU}_i is the predicted probability from the first stage regression of the individual surfing the Internet with a computer, X_i is a vector of covariates, and ε_i is the error term. For our depression measure, we employ a traditional 2SLS estimation (using the CMP estimator) whose specification is similar to equations 5 and 6.

⁶ Because a considerable share of our respondents do not use the Internet and the decision to do so may be related to reported SWB, our analysis may be subject to sample selection bias.

To differentiate the purposes of Internet use, we estimate the following model:

$$SWB_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 IP_i + \beta_2 IP_i * AG + \beta_3 X_i + \beta_4 P + \beta_5 U + \varepsilon_i \quad (7)$$

where SWB_i represents the depression, life satisfaction, or happiness of individual i . IP_i is a binary variable indicating the importance of the different purposes of individual i 's Internet use (i.e., entertainment; study; work; social interaction; sharing thoughts/feelings, seeking emotional support, or seeking professional help from Internet friends; and diversion/distraction). AG represents an age group dummy encompassing the five age groups (1 = $16 \leq \text{age} \leq 19$, 2 = $20 \leq \text{age} \leq 29$, 3 = $30 \leq \text{age} \leq 39$, 4 = $40 \leq \text{age} \leq 49$, and 5 = $50 \leq \text{age} \leq 60$) with 16- to 19-year-olds as the reference category. X_i is a vector of individual i 's characteristics, P represents a provincial dummy, U denotes an urban dummy, and ε_i is the error term.

To assess how Internet use influences (perceived) time arrangements for 10 daily activities (sleeping; eating; housework; taking care of family members; full-time work; reading; watching TV/videos or listening to radio/music; engaging in sports; hobbies, or leisure activities; and social activities), we introduce the following double-log model:

$$LOGTDA_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 LOGIUI_i + \varepsilon_i \quad (8)$$

where $LOGTDA_i$ and $LOGIUI_i$, respectively, denote the translog time (hours/day) that individual i spends on these 10 daily activities or surfing the Internet. ε_i is the error term.

4 Results

4.1 Descriptive statistics

Our sample of Internet users consists of 4,686 Chinese individuals aged 16–60 who reported using a computer to surf the Internet.⁷ Consistent with the results from the 2014 SRIDC (CINIC 2014) and reflecting the relative newness of Internet technology in China, these users are predominantly male (56%) and were relatively young (average age = 31.43) at the time of survey. Most were employed (70%) and married (65%), and reported high levels of education and income, as well as good health. Most users (73%) also resided in urban areas (for more details, see Appendix Table A1).

⁷ This number suggests an Internet penetration rate of approximately 20% (i.e., 4686/23836), which is lower than the 34% reported in the 2014 *Statistical Report on Internet Development in China* (SRIDC), primarily because our study only considers individuals that surf the Internet with a computer, which excludes those that do so using, for example, a mobile device.

On average, respondents reported spending around 2.3 hours per day surfing the Internet with a computer. The most time-consuming online activities (measured in days/week) were using multiservice web portals (QQ and MSN) and emails, while the least popular activities were blogging and visiting business websites. It is also worth noting that the intensity of QQ use was slightly stronger (about 5 days/week) than that of MSN messenger use (3.9 days/week), suggesting a possible preference for local information and communication and/or for lower privacy protection that enables easier contact with strangers (Meng and Zuo 2008). Among the different perceived purposes for Internet use, use for work or study were relatively important, whereas sharing thoughts or feelings or seeking emotional support and professional help from Internet friends were less important. As to the primary location for Internet use, the home was still the most common access site (66% of all users) compared to the workplace (17%) or Internet cafés (10%).⁸

4.2 Internet use and SWB

Our analysis of the association between Internet use intensity (a macro measure) and SWB reveals no significant association between such intensity and life satisfaction (see Table 1, columns 1–2). We do, however, note a negative association between Internet use intensity and happiness, with marginal effects equal to about 2.7%. One interesting observation is that this negative effect is almost completely attenuated by the interaction terms with age, implying that the negative association between Internet use intensity and happiness is predominantly driven by the young reference group aged 16–19. In older age groups, little evidence emerges that Internet use intensity is associated with happiness. Similarly, and consistent with several prior studies (see Bessiere et al. 2010; Fortson et al. 2007; Stepanikova et al. 2010), there is a negative association between Internet use intensity and depression scores (see columns 5 and 6), which, although the magnitudes are quite small, implies that more Internet use is associated with slightly higher levels of depression. Once again, the interaction terms with age indicate that this association is primarily driven by the youngest group (aged 16–19). We also note in passing a nonlinearity in the nexus between age and SWB—particularly, life satisfaction and happiness (see columns 1–4)—which is well in line with certain other studies (see, e.g., Blanchflower and Oswald 2008).

⁸ These unreported results are available from the authors upon request.

Table 1 Ordered probit estimates/OLS for Internet use on subjective well-being (adults aged 16–60)

Variable	Ordered probit model (marginal effects)				OLS	
	LS	LS	Happiness	Happiness	Depression	Depression
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
IUI	-0.007 (0.006)	-0.005 (0.006)	-0.027** (0.011)	-0.026** (0.011)	-0.232*** (0.077)	-0.215*** (0.075)
20-29	-0.099*** (0.019)	-0.090*** (0.019)	-0.132*** (0.041)	-0.122*** (0.041)	-0.603** (0.258)	-0.416* (0.249)
30-39	-0.105*** (0.022)	-0.089*** (0.021)	-0.213*** (0.044)	-0.189*** (0.044)	-0.494* (0.290)	-0.150 (0.276)
40-49	-0.107*** (0.023)	-0.082*** (0.023)	-0.261*** (0.047)	-0.222*** (0.047)	-0.668** (0.330)	-0.120 (0.314)
50-60	-0.070*** (0.027)	-0.039 (0.027)	-0.238*** (0.055)	-0.190*** (0.054)	-0.477 (0.377)	0.175 (0.363)
IUI X 20-29	0.007 (0.006)	0.006 (0.006)	0.029** (0.012)	0.028** (0.012)	0.210** (0.084)	0.197** (0.082)
IUI X 30-39	0.007 (0.006)	0.006 (0.007)	0.028** (0.012)	0.027** (0.012)	0.207** (0.086)	0.197** (0.083)
IUI X 40-49	0.003 (0.007)	0.001 (0.007)	0.034** (0.014)	0.031** (0.014)	0.158 (0.125)	0.131 (0.118)
IUI X 50-60	-0.006 (0.009)	-0.006 (0.009)	0.024 (0.018)	0.024 (0.017)	0.401*** (0.104)	0.394*** (0.103)
Unhealthy		0.070 (0.087)		-0.183 (0.154)		-1.427 (0.876)
Relatively unhealthy		0.074 (0.083)		-0.149 (0.150)		-0.148 (0.756)
Fair		0.128 (0.082)		-0.067 (0.148)		1.390** (0.633)
Healthy		0.200** (0.082)		0.063 (0.147)		2.713*** (0.636)
<i>N</i>	4686	4686	4471	4471	4664	4664
Pseudo R^2 /Adj. R^2	0.040	0.052	0.033	0.047	0.029	0.100

Note. The dependent variable is life satisfaction (measured on a 5-point scale), happiness (measured on a 5-point scale), or depression (measured on a scale ranging from 6 to 30). The controls for models (1), (3), and (5) are Internet use intensity (IUI, hours/day), an age group dummy, the interaction of the age group dummy with Internet use intensity, male, employment status, marital status, education, relative income, and an urban dummy. The controls for models (2), (4), and (6) are the same as those for (1) but with the addition of self-reported health. Marginal effects are reported for life satisfaction (very satisfied) and happiness (very happy). Village/neighborhood-clustered robust standard errors are in parentheses. * $p < 0.1$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$.

4.3 Online functions use and SWB

In contrast to the macro approach, our micro approach to analyzing the Internet use-SWB relation reveals only a few negative associations (see Table 2 for a summary of the main findings). In fact, the time spent on the various online functions barely correlates with any of our three SWB measures. For the population in general, we do note that longer time exposure to MSN use is significantly linked with a decrease in happiness, albeit at a quite small magnitude (with a marginal effect of around 0.12, see Appendix Table A2). However, once time spent on MSN use is interacted with different age groups, happiness consistently increases, especially among working-age individuals (20–49). Because MSN messenger, although less popular in China than QQ, is significantly and positively associated with SWB while QQ is not, it is possible that the opportunities for international information and communication that it offers, especially for working-age Chinese, provides them with a sense of being part of the world community and enhances their well-being.

Table 2 A general summary of online functions and SWB

Online functions	SWB indicators		
	LS	Happiness	Depression
QQ	Not significant	Not significant	Not significant
MSN	Not significant	<i>Positive effect only among working-age</i>	Not significant
Email	Not significant	Not significant	Not significant
Blog	Not significant	Not significant	Not significant
Social networking site	<i>Negative effect only among older users</i>	<i>Negative effect only among older users</i>	<i>Negative effect only among older users</i>
Search engine	Not significant	Not significant	Not significant
Game website	Not significant	Not significant	Not significant
Business website	Not significant	Not significant	Not significant

Note. The table is based on regressions in which the dependent variable is life satisfaction (LS, measured on a 5-point scale), happiness (measured on a 5-point scale), or depression (measured on a scale from 6 to 30). Results for MSN and social networking sites are given in Appendix Tables A2 and A3. Other regression results are available upon request. Significance is at the 5% level.

Among older citizens (>50), however, social networking generally gives rise to negative associations, which contradicts previous reports of a positive link between social use of the Internet and SWB in later life (e.g., Cotten et al. 2012; Ford and Ford 2009; Lelkes 2013). Given that these latter studies were conducted primarily in Western societies, this contradiction may suggest a culture-related difference. For example, intense use of a social

networking site by older Chinese adults might reflect an unsuccessful attempt to compensate for few or unsatisfying social interactions and social isolation.

By showing that most online functions are unassociated with SWB—and that the few associations found are not necessarily negative and limited to specific age groups—our findings reveal a considerable contrast between the macro and micro analyses. Hence, we cannot attribute the negative association between Internet use and SWB in China to a specific harmful online activity. This suggests that it is not what people *do* online, but rather how they *feel* about using the Internet more generally, that may explain the negative associations between Internet use and SWB found in this study.

4.4 Possible mechanisms by which Internet use affects SWB

We propose two possible mechanisms by which Internet use may affect users' feelings about their usage and consequently their SWB: the perceived importance of different purposes for Internet use, which may indicate user motivations, and perceived sacrifices for more time spent online, which may throw more light on user attitudes or motivations than on behavior.

4.4.1 Attitudes/motivation

Table 3 reports the importance ascribed to the different purposes for Internet use and their association with life satisfaction. As the table shows, considering the Internet to be important for study or work is positively related with life satisfaction, with marginal effects of 7.2% and 4.6%, respectively (columns 2 and 3), suggesting that when the Internet is seen as a means for attaining a valuable goal, its use is positively associated with life satisfaction. The interaction terms in the lower half of the table further indicate that the positive coefficient associated with Internet use for study is being driven by the youngest respondents (aged 16–19). On the other hand, those who see the Internet as important for diversion or distraction are less likely to be very satisfied with life, with a marginal effect of 3.5% (column 8). This outcome may imply that, conversely, when the Internet is perceived as a means for reaching a nonvaluable goal, its use is negatively correlated with life satisfaction.⁹

⁹ Nevertheless, it is important to emphasize that causality may be the opposite: individuals that are less satisfied with life may perceive the Internet as an important means for diversion and distraction.

Table 3 Ordered probit estimates for the importance of different purposes for Internet use on life satisfaction (adults 16–60)

	Entertainment	Study	Work	Social interaction	Share thoughts	Emotional support	Professional help	Diversion /distraction
Variable	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Importance	0.012 (0.024)	0.072*** (0.019)	0.046** (0.022)	-0.009 (0.021)	0.015 (0.029)	-0.014 (0.035)	0.043 (0.031)	-0.035* (0.021)
20-29	-0.082*** (0.016)	-0.051*** (0.017)	-0.072*** (0.017)	-0.106*** (0.017)	-0.083*** (0.014)	-0.085*** (0.014)	-0.073*** (0.014)	-0.097*** (0.016)
30-39	-0.083*** (0.019)	-0.055*** (0.019)	-0.072*** (0.019)	-0.096*** (0.020)	-0.082*** (0.016)	-0.085*** (0.016)	-0.076*** (0.016)	-0.096*** (0.018)
40-49	-0.088*** (0.020)	-0.056*** (0.020)	-0.081*** (0.020)	-0.092*** (0.020)	-0.076*** (0.017)	-0.084*** (0.017)	-0.072*** (0.018)	-0.100*** (0.019)
50-60	-0.063*** (0.023)	-0.022 (0.025)	-0.046* (0.024)	-0.066*** (0.023)	-0.048** (0.021)	-0.054** (0.021)	-0.048** (0.022)	-0.072*** (0.023)
Interactions of the importance dummy with age group dummy								
X 20-29	0.001 (0.025)	-0.062*** (0.022)	-0.023 (0.024)	0.045** (0.022)	0.010 (0.031)	0.032 (0.037)	-0.041 (0.033)	0.039* (0.023)
X 30-39	-0.003 (0.026)	-0.058*** (0.022)	-0.026 (0.025)	0.024 (0.024)	-0.010 (0.037)	0.011 (0.046)	-0.037 (0.035)	0.028 (0.026)
X 40-49	0.022 (0.031)	-0.050** (0.024)	-0.002 (0.027)	0.021 (0.027)	-0.051 (0.042)	0.025 (0.069)	-0.049 (0.036)	0.052* (0.030)
X 50-60	0.033 (0.035)	-0.064* (0.033)	-0.012 (0.033)	0.031 (0.039)	-0.064 (0.059)	-0.108** (0.053)	-0.019 (0.044)	0.055 (0.038)
N	4985	4984	4982	4982	4979	4979	4977	4982
Pseudo R ²	0.053	0.054	0.054	0.053	0.053	0.052	0.052	0.052

Note. The dependent variable is life satisfaction (measured on a 5-point scale). The controls are a dummy for the importance of the different purposes of surfing the Internet, an age group dummy, and the interaction of the age group dummy with the importance dummy, male, employment status, marital status, education, self-reported income ladder, an urban dummy, and self-reported health. Marginal effects are reported for life satisfaction (very satisfied). Village/neighborhood-clustered robust standard errors are in parentheses. * $p < 0.1$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$.

We then estimate how the importance of various purposes for Internet use affects happiness (see Table 4). As in the case of life satisfaction, respondents who consider the Internet important for study or work are more likely to report higher levels of happiness, with marginal effects of approximately 0.16 and 0.1, respectively (columns 2 and 3), perhaps again indicating the importance of achieving a valuable goal.

Table 4 Ordered probit estimates for the importance of different purposes of Internet use on happiness (adults 16–60)

Variable	Entertainment (1)	Study (2)	Work (3)	Social interaction (4)	Share thoughts (5)	Emotional support (6)	Professional help (7)	Diversion /distraction (8)
Importance	-0.024 (0.054)	0.161*** (0.052)	0.098** (0.050)	-0.020 (0.049)	-0.011 (0.051)	0.011 (0.074)	0.083 (0.071)	-0.021 (0.049)
20-29	-0.077** (0.033)	-0.017 (0.033)	-0.045 (0.034)	-0.108*** (0.037)	-0.069** (0.030)	-0.062** (0.028)	-0.051* (0.030)	-0.076** (0.036)
30-39	-0.135*** (0.037)	-0.074** (0.037)	-0.102*** (0.039)	-0.160*** (0.042)	-0.125*** (0.035)	-0.125*** (0.032)	-0.112*** (0.034)	-0.126*** (0.039)
40-49	-0.189*** (0.039)	-0.082** (0.040)	-0.130*** (0.040)	-0.195*** (0.042)	-0.161*** (0.037)	-0.154*** (0.034)	-0.134*** (0.036)	-0.172*** (0.040)
50-60	-0.171*** (0.045)	-0.089* (0.047)	-0.113** (0.046)	-0.175*** (0.046)	-0.147*** (0.043)	-0.137*** (0.041)	-0.132*** (0.042)	-0.130*** (0.047)
Interactions of importance dummy with age group dummy								
X 20-29	0.041 (0.057)	-0.107** (0.054)	-0.036 (0.052)	0.090* (0.050)	0.039 (0.054)	0.014 (0.078)	-0.053 (0.074)	0.039 (0.052)
X 30-39	0.019 (0.059)	-0.129** (0.056)	-0.055 (0.055)	0.070 (0.054)	-0.054 (0.063)	-0.041 (0.090)	-0.087 (0.076)	-0.019 (0.056)
X 40-49	0.097 (0.063)	-0.169*** (0.059)	-0.051 (0.057)	0.106* (0.059)	0.004 (0.079)	-0.080 (0.116)	-0.130 (0.083)	0.050 (0.062)
X 50-60	0.109 (0.073)	-0.110* (0.064)	-0.037 (0.066)	0.122 (0.075)	0.141 (0.108)	0.131 (0.157)	0.028 (0.098)	-0.044 (0.076)
<i>N</i>	4741	4740	4738	4738	4735	4735	4733	4738
Pseudo R^2	0.045	0.047	0.047	0.048	0.045	0.045	0.046	0.045

Note. The dependent variable is happiness (measured on a 5-point scale). The controls are a dummy for the different purposes of surfing the Internet, an age group dummy, and the interaction of the age group dummy with the importance dummy, male, employment status, marital status, education, self-reported income ladder, an urban dummy, and self-reported health. Marginal effects are reported for life satisfaction (very satisfied). Village/neighborhood-clustered robust standard errors are in parentheses. * $p < 0.1$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$.

Finally, we evaluate the association between the different purposes and depression (see Table 5). In contrast to our other two SWB measures, the likelihood of depression decreases in both those that consider the Internet important for entertainment and those who value it for seeking professional help, although at the relatively small magnitudes of 0.72 and 0.58, respectively (columns 1 and 7). Interestingly, the interaction terms indicate that the association between depression and valuing the Internet for entertainment is absent in the 50- to 60-year-old sample (column 1), which may imply that older users are more conservative or even fail to see the value of Internet use in alleviating depression.

Table 5 OLS estimates for the importance of different purposes for Internet use on depression (adults 16–60)

Variable	Entertainment	Study	Work	Social interaction	Share thoughts	Emotional support	Professional help	Diversion /distraction
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Importance	0.719*** (0.270)	0.123 (0.336)	-0.329 (0.310)	0.063 (0.310)	-0.435 (0.346)	-0.488 (0.434)	0.582* (0.353)	-0.453 (0.318)
20-29	0.346 (0.244)	0.094 (0.283)	-0.036 (0.229)	0.103 (0.265)	0.049 (0.219)	0.041 (0.213)	0.176 (0.228)	-0.022 (0.243)
30-39	0.595** (0.275)	0.417 (0.308)	0.249 (0.261)	0.388 (0.291)	0.282 (0.249)	0.320 (0.242)	0.478* (0.256)	0.221 (0.267)
40-49	0.576* (0.302)	0.402 (0.365)	0.217 (0.297)	0.431 (0.319)	0.269 (0.277)	0.312 (0.271)	0.427 (0.292)	0.201 (0.299)
50-60	1.299*** (0.337)	0.783** (0.396)	0.806** (0.332)	1.029*** (0.348)	0.979*** (0.295)	1.031*** (0.294)	1.221*** (0.312)	0.896*** (0.327)
Interactions of the importance dummy with the age group dummy								
X 20-29	-0.698** (0.299)	-0.051 (0.356)	0.265 (0.329)	-0.063 (0.341)	0.083 (0.382)	0.344 (0.482)	-0.542 (0.381)	0.239 (0.350)
X 30-39	-0.678** (0.316)	-0.187 (0.370)	0.213 (0.340)	-0.133 (0.346)	0.161 (0.426)	-0.096 (0.606)	-0.759* (0.387)	0.252 (0.369)
X 40-49	-0.768** (0.360)	-0.265 (0.430)	0.155 (0.402)	-0.523 (0.448)	-0.421 (0.588)	-1.798 (1.392)	-0.821 (0.525)	0.081 (0.456)
X 50-60	-0.525 (0.453)	0.673 (0.458)	0.670 (0.426)	0.261 (0.462)	0.724 (0.635)	0.711 (1.148)	-0.916 (0.579)	0.522 (0.469)
Constant	23.192*** (1.147)	23.461*** (1.159)	23.683*** (1.143)	23.499*** (1.148)	23.678*** (1.149)	23.576*** (1.143)	23.475*** (1.159)	23.716*** (1.142)
<i>N</i>	4963	4962	4960	4961	4958	4958	4956	4960
Adj. <i>R</i> ²	0.098	0.098	0.097	0.098	0.099	0.100	0.097	0.098

Note. The dependent variable is depression (measured on a scale ranging from 6 to 30). The controls are a dummy for the importance of different purposes for surfing the Internet, an age group dummy, and the interaction of the age group dummy with the importance dummy, male, employment status, marital status, education, self-reported income ladder, an urban dummy, and self-reported health. Village/neighborhood-clustered robust standard errors are in parentheses. * $p < 0.1$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$.

In this analysis of the associations between various perceptions of Internet uses and SWB, two key points are worth highlighting: First, for the positive measures, we do observe significant associations with valuable uses such as work and study, suggesting that a sense of “doing the right thing” via the Internet may contribute to SWB. For the negative measure, we see significant associations with such therapeutic uses as entertainment and receiving professional help, meaning that in cases of depression, more Internet use may help mitigate depression, which is well in line with Nimrod (2013) and Tandoc et al. (2015).

4.4.2 Perceived displacement

To analyze users' perceptions of what is given up to spend time online, we run a double-log model (in which the coefficients represent elasticities) to determine how time spent using the Internet relates to perceived time spent on different daily activities. As Table 6 shows, in general, time spent using the Internet is negatively associated with perceived time spent sleeping, doing household chores, taking care of family, and working, with elasticities of 0.5%, 5.3%, 4.9%, and 2.1%, respectively. The results here are consistent with the interpretation of results in the previous section. That is, heavy Internet users may be less happy and more depressed because they feel guilty for neglecting important responsibilities such as work, housework, or taking care of family, especially given that, in spite of rapid modernization, the Chinese still believe in strong traditional values. Additionally, longer time exposure to the Internet is positively associated with perceived time spent eating, reading, or engaging in sports and social activities, with elasticities of 1.5%, 3.1%, 4.7%, and 2.3%, respectively, which suggests that Internet use is also perceived as a hedonic activity. We observe no association, however, between time exposure to the Internet and watching TV (perhaps signaling no media displacement) or engaging in hobbies.¹⁰

Table 6 Double-log estimates for Internet use on daily activities (adults 16–60)

Variable	Sleeping	Eating	Housework	Caring for family	Work	Reading	Watching TV	Sports	Hobbies	Social activities
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
TIU	-0.005** (0.002)	0.015* (0.008)	-0.053*** (0.011)	-0.049*** (0.018)	-0.021* (0.012)	0.031** (0.013)	0.016 (0.011)	0.047*** (0.015)	-0.009 (0.019)	0.023* (0.014)
Constant	2.783*** (0.003)	0.896*** (0.012)	0.921*** (0.015)	1.184*** (0.021)	2.683*** (0.014)	0.665*** (0.016)	1.255*** (0.013)	0.601*** (0.017)	0.970*** (0.020)	0.831*** (0.016)
<i>N</i>	4747	4739	2913	1815	1253	2195	3477	1268	1173	2301
Adj. <i>R</i> ²	0.001	0.001	0.006	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.007	0.000	0.001

Note. The dependent variables are translog time (hours/day) spent on various daily activities during the weekdays/weekends; namely, sleeping, eating, household chores, taking care of family members, work, reading, watching TV, engaging in sports or hobbies, and social activities. The only control is translog time (hours/day) spent on Internet use (TIU). Village/neighborhood-clustered robust standard errors are in parentheses. * $p < 0.1$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$.

Overall, the impacts of Internet use intensity on SWB are quite heterogeneous, especially once we introduce the various attitudes and perceived displacements of Internet use. In fact, based on the findings reported in Sections 4.3 and 4.4, it is not what users do online but rather

¹⁰ Because the 2010 CFPS provides information on the substitution between Internet use and time spent watching TV (by asking whether the latter has increased or decreased substantially since Internet use began), we also run an ordered probit model with TV watching as the dependent variable. We find that time spent on Internet use decreases the probability of spending more time watching TV, implying that longer Internet exposure may reduce viewing time. The estimation results, although not reported here, are available from the authors upon request.

how they perceive and judge their Internet use that is associated with their well-being. More specifically, our findings indicate that Chinese Internet users perceive their usage as a frivolous activity that often distracts them from doing more important things such as working and/or taking care of their homes and families. This observation may explain the negative association between Internet use and SWB in China. It also seems that individuals only feel good about Internet use when its purpose is to achieve valuable goals (e.g., study or work), connect them with the outside world (MSN messenger), and/or alleviate depression, thereby contributing to their performance in the important life domains of home and work.

4.5. Endogeneity

When we apply Roodman's (2011) mixed process estimator to our three SWB measures, the IV estimates reveal that participation in Internet use is correlated with an increase in both life satisfaction and happiness but also with an increase in depression (see Table 7). The difference between these results and those based on Internet use intensity in Table 1 suggests that participation may have a different effect on SWB than intensity of use. In fact, combining these first stage IV estimates with the test of joint significance of the coefficients underscores the relevance of the number of Internet broadband access terminals as an instrumental variable. As might be expected, such telecommunication facilities increase Internet access and boost the probability of surfing the Internet.

Table 7 Conditional mixed process (CMP) 2SLS estimates for Internet use on subjective well-being (adults 16–60)

Variable	Life satisfaction	Happiness	Depression
	CMP (1)	CMP (2)	2SLS (3)
Internet use participation	0.123* (0.068)	0.420*** (0.060)	-4.878*** (0.085)
Instrumental variable			
Terminals	0.362*** (0.109)	0.386*** (0.108)	0.318*** (0.094)
<i>F statistics</i>	102.15	84.53	151.20
<i>Chi2</i>	11011.90	10720.36	17796.64
<i>N</i>	29361	29361	29361

Note. The dependent variable is life satisfaction (measured on a 5-point scale), happiness (measured on a 5-point scale), or depression (measured on a scale ranging from 6 to 30). The controls are participation in Internet use, age, age squared, male, employment status, marital status, education, self-reported income, an urban dummy, and self-reported health. The instrumental variable is the number of Internet broadband access terminals (in 10,000s) at the provincial/municipality level. Standard errors are in parentheses. * $p < 0.1$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$.

6 Conclusions

This study extends the existing literature by focusing on a non-Western developing country, incorporating both positive and negative measures of SWB, and employing a novel combination of macro and micro approaches to measuring Internet use. It also combines measures of practical Internet use with attitudes toward such use, examines the association between Internet use and SWB in different age groups, and addresses the selection and endogeneity issues associated with the Internet use-SWB relation. Not only does this multidimensional approach enable a thorough and accurate examination of the Internet use-SWB association in China, it contributes important insights to the general body of knowledge on Internet use and well-being.

The analysis is, however, subject to certain limitations, including a lack of the longitudinal data that are crucial to furthering our understanding of the Internet's impact on SWB (Kraut et al. 2002). Our data are also restricted to computer-based Internet use, which prevents exploration of SWB's association with Internet usage on mobile devices. Methodologically, we admit that multiple item measures of SWB would be preferable, although the type of single item SWB indicators used here is quite common in economics. We also admit that in our attempt to explore the causal relation between Internet use and SWB, we cannot completely rule out endogeneity. As regards any attempt to analyze the displacements caused by Internet use, of course the ideal would be to use precise time-use information. Nevertheless, our data on perceived time use provide valuable information on displacement-related *perceptions*, which may be more relevant than actual displacements when assessing Internet use's effect on SWB.

In particular, the study yields the following important findings. First, the association between Internet use intensity and SWB is negative: in general, intense use is unassociated with life satisfaction, negatively associated with happiness, and conjunct with higher levels of depression. These outcomes are well in line with several studies from Western countries (see, e.g., Bessiere et al. 2010; Fortson et al. 2007; Stepanikova et al. 2010). Second, and also in line with earlier research (e.g., Selfhout et al. 2009; Valkenburg and Peter 2007), we identify certain cross-generational differences that suggest younger cohorts may be more vulnerable to the potentially negative effects of Internet use on well-being. Finally, although we observe no significant negative associations between use of specific online functions and SWB, our analyses do indicate that Chinese users' perceptions of Internet use are rather negative. More

specifically, unless the Internet is used to pursue such (perceived to be) valuable goals as work or study, Chinese may regard it as a hedonic activity that distracts users from pursuing more worthwhile activities.

Our findings thus imply that the specific reason for surfing the Internet (rather than whether or not the activity is performed) is an important nuance in any analysis of the Internet use-SWB relation. In other words, it is not what individuals *do* online but rather how they *perceive* Internet usage that may affect their SWB. This observation throws new light on an important principle from digital divide paradigm. That is, it suggests that, rather than the third level digital divide (the various ICT use outcomes) necessarily arising from the second level digital divide (actual ICT use) as suggested by Wei et al. (2011), it may instead stem from how individuals *perceive* the uses to which the Internet is put.

Nevertheless, when discussing the Internet use-SWB connection in China, we must take into account that Chinese Internet users are rather novice and should thus be regarded as “digital immigrants” (Prensky 2001). It is therefore quite probable that the Internet use-SWB associations in China will change over time as the Internet becomes more prevalent and users become more experienced. Nor can we ignore the role of cultural factors. In spite of rapid modernization and greater openness to the world, China is still a traditional culture with strong work and family ethics. Hence, as long as Internet use is perceived as a negative activity that distracts users from valuable life goals, the negative associations identified here should be regarded as a threat to SWB in China. Thus, future research should explore not only causality and trends (in Internet usage and perceptions, as well as their effects) but also possible interventions to change negative perceptions. Such interventions, by helping Chinese users see the Internet as a means for attaining valuable goals and performing significant roles, could turn the Internet into an instrument that positively impacts both the economy and quality of life.

Acknowledgments

We are very thankful to the Institute of Social Science Survey at Peking University for providing the CFPS data used in this study. We also gratefully acknowledge the grant support provided under the project, “Tuscany: A Global Laboratory for Quality of Life,” part of Tuscany’s *Towards Expo 2015 (Feeding the Earth)* initiative in collaboration with Toscania Promozione, Polo Lionello Bonfanti, and Tuscany universities. This present analysis is also an output of a scholarship from the Food Security Center at the University of Hohenheim, which is part of the DAAD (German Academic Exchange Service) program “Exceed” and is supported by DAAD and the German Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ). We would also like to thank Francesco Sarracino and Stefano Bartolini for their valuable comments.

References

- Amichai-Hamburger, Y. & Ben-Artzi, E. (2003). Loneliness and Internet use. *Computers in Human Behavior, 19*, 71–80.
- Bakken, I. J., Wenzel, H. G., Götestam, K. G., Johansson, A., & Oren, A. (2008). Internet addiction among Norwegian adults: A stratified probability sample study. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 50*, 121–127.
- Bessiere, K., Pressman, S., Kiesler, S., & Kraut, R. (2010). Effects of Internet use on health and depression: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research, 12*, e6.
- Blanchflower, D. G., & Oswald, A. J. (2008). Is well-being U-shaped over the life cycle? *Social Science & Medicine, 66*, 1733–1749.
- Brosnan, M., & Lee, W. (1998). A cross-cultural comparison of gender differences in computer attitudes and anxieties: The United Kingdom and Hong Kong. *Computers in Human Behaviour, 14*, 559–577.
- Campbell, A. J., Cumming, S. R., & Hughes, I. (2006). Internet use by the socially fearful: Addiction or therapy? *CyberPsychology & Behavior, 9*, 69–81.
- Cao, H., Sun, Y., Wan, Y., Hao, J., & Tao, F. (2011). Problematic Internet use in Chinese adolescents and its relation to psychosomatic symptoms and life satisfaction. *BMC Public Health, 11*, 802.
- Ceyhan, A. A., & Ceyhan, E. (2008). Loneliness, depression, and computer self-efficiency as predictors of problematic Internet use. *CyberPsychology & Behavior, 11*, 699–701.
- China Internet Network Information Center. (2014). *Thirty-third statistical report on Internet development in China*. Beijing: CINET.
- Cotten, S. R., Ford, G., Ford, S., & Hale, T. M. (2012). Internet use and depression among older adults. *Computers in Human Behavior, 28*, 496–499.
- Cotten, S. R., Goldner, M., Hale, T. M., & Drentea, P. (2011). The importance of type, amount, and timing of Internet use for understanding psychological distress. *Social Science Quarterly, 92*, 119–139.
- Ford, G. S., & Ford, S. G. (2009). Internet use and depression among the elderly. Phoenix Center Policy Paper No. 38, Washington D.C.
- Fortson, B. L., Scotti, J. R., Chen, Y. C., Malone, J., & Del Ben, K. S. (2007). Internet use, abuse, and dependence among students at a southeastern regional university. *Journal of American College Health, 56*, 137–144.
- Franzen, A. (2000). Does the Internet make us lonely? *European Sociological Review, 16*, 427–438.
- Frey, B., & Stutzer, A. (2002). What can economists learn from happiness research? *Journal of Economic Literature, 40*, 402–435.
- Hargittai, E. (2002). Second-level digital divide: Differences in people's online skills. *First Monday, 7*, 1–20.
- Katsamanis, I. (2006). *Computer use and predictors of life satisfaction among older adult computer users*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Carlos Albizu University, Doral, FL.
- Kavetsos, G., & Koutroumpis, P. (2011). Technological affluence and subjective well-being. *Journal of Economic Psychology, 32*, 742–753.
- Kraut, R., Kiesler, S., Boneva, B., Cumming, S. R., Helgeson, V., & Crawford, A. (2002). Internet paradox revisited. *Journal of Social Issues, 58*, 49–74.
- Kraut, R., Patterson, M., Lundmark, V., Kiesler, S., Mukopadhyay, T., & Scherlis, W. (1998). Internet paradox: A social technology that reduces social involvement and psychological well-being? *American Psychologist, 53*, 1017–1031.
- Kross, E., Verduyn, P., Demiralp, E., Park, J., Lee, D.S., Lin, N., Shablack, H., Jonides, J., & Ybarra, O. (2013). Facebook use predicts declines in subjective well-being in young adults. *PLoS ONE, 8*, e69841.
- Lam, L. T., & Peng, Z. W. (2010). Effect of pathological use of the Internet on adolescent mental health: A prospective study. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, 164*, 901–906.
- Lelkes, O. (2013). Happier and less isolated: Internet use in old age. *Journal of Poverty and Social Justice, 21*, 33–46.

- Li, N., & Kirkup, G. (2007). Gender and cultural differences in Internet use: A study of China and the UK. *Computers & Education*, *48*, 301–317.
- Mannell, R. C., Kaczynski, A. T., & Aronson, R. M. (2005). Adolescent participation and flow experience in physically active leisure and electronic media activities: Testing the displacement hypothesis. *Loisir et Société / Society and Leisure*, *28*, 653–675.
- Meng, Z. L., & Zuo, M. Y. (2008). Why MSN lost to QQ in [the] China market? Different privacy protection design. *International Journal of Security and Its Applications*, *4*, 81–87.
- Ministry of Industry and Information Technology of the People's Republic of China. (2010). *2009 national statistical bulletin of telecommunication*. Beijing: MIITPRC.
- Morrison, C. M., & Gore, H. (2010). The relationship between excessive Internet use and depression: A questionnaire-based study of 1,319 young people and adults. *Psychopathology*, *43*, 121–126.
- Newell, J., Pilotta, J. J., & Thomas, J. C. (2008). Mass media displacement and saturation. *International Journal on Media Management*, *10*, 131–138.
- Nimrod, G. (2013). Challenging the Internet paradox: Online depression communities and well-being. *International Journal of Internet Science*, *8*, 30–48.
- Nosseck, H., Adoni, H., & Nimrod, G. (2015). Is print really dying? The state of print media use in Europe. *International Journal of Communication*, *9*, 365–385.
- Pénard, T., Poussing, N., & Suire, R. (2013). Does the Internet make people happier? *Journal of Socio-Economics*, *46*, 105–116.
- Premsky, M. (2001). Digital natives, digital immigrants. *On the Horizon*, *9*, 1–6.
- Ren, Q., & Treiman, D. J. (2014). Living arrangements of the elderly in China and consequences for their emotional well-being. Working Paper No. WP14-001, Institute of Social Science Survey, Peking University.
- Roodman, D. (2011). Fitting fully observed recursive mixed-process models with CMP. *Stata Journal*, *11*, 159–206.
- Sabatini, F., & Sarracino, F. (2014). Online networks and subjective well-being. MPRA Paper No. 56436, University Library of Munich.
- Selfhout, M. H. W., Branje, S. J. T., Delsing, M., Ter Bogt, T. F. M., & Meeus, W. H. J. (2009). Different types of Internet use, depression, and social anxiety: The role of perceived friendship quality. *Journal of Adolescence*, *32*, 819–833.
- Shaw, L. H., & Gant, L. M. (2002). In defense of the Internet: The relationship between Internet communication and depression, loneliness, self-esteem, and perceived social support. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, *5*, 157–171.
- Shotwell, J. M. (2002). *A uses and gratifications study of computer-mediated communication and its implication for life satisfaction among the aging*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY.
- Sousa-Poza, A. (1999). How does the level of education affect the allocation of women's time to non-market labour? Discussion Paper No. 62. Research Institute for Labour Economics and Labour Law, University of St. Gallen, Switzerland.
- Stepanikova, I., Nie, N. H., & He, X. (2010). Time on the Internet at home, loneliness, and life satisfaction: Evidence from panel time-diary data. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, *26*, 329–338.
- Tandoc, E. C., Ferrucci, P., & Duffy, M. (2015). Facebook use, envy, and depression among college students: Is facebooking depressing? *Computers in Human Behavior*, *43*, 139–146.
- Valkenburg, P. M., & Peter, J. (2007). Internet communications and its relation to well-being: Identifying some underlying mechanisms. *Media Psychology*, *9*, 43–58.
- Wang, J., & Wang, H. (2011). The predictive effects of online communication on well-being among Chinese adolescents. *Psychology*, *2*, 359–362.
- Wang, Q. B., & Li, M. H. (2012). Home computer ownership and Internet use in China: Trends, disparities, socioeconomic impacts, and policy implications. *First Monday*, *17*, 2–6.
- Wei, K.-K., Teo, H.-H., Chan, H. C., & Tan, B. C. Y. (2011). Conceptualizing and testing a social cognitive model of the digital divide. *Information Systems Research*, *22*, 170–187.
- Wickramasinghe, C. N., & Ahmad, N. (2013). Influence of Internet usage on social and subjective well-being of Sri Lankan GLIS. *Journal of Happiness & Well-being*, *1*, 70–84.

- Woetzel, J., Orr, G., Lau, A., Chen, Y., Chang, E., Seong, J., Chui, M., & Qiu, A. (2014). *China's digital transformation: The Internet's impact on productivity and growth*. San Francisco: McKinsey Global Institute.
- Wu, X., Chen, X., Han, J., Meng, H., Luo, J., Nydegger, L., & Wu, H. (2013). Prevalence and factors of addictive Internet use among adolescents in Wuhan, China: Interactions of parental relationship with age and hyperactivity-impulsivity. *PLoS ONE*, 8, e61782.
- Xiao, H. (2009). Study on QQ-medium-oriented ideological and political education of college students. *Asian Social Science*, 5, 74–77.
- Xie, Y. (2012). *China Family Panel Studies 2010 Users Manual*. Beijing: Institute of Social Science Survey, Peking University.
- Xie, Y., Hu, J., & Zhang, C. (2014). The China Family Panel Studies (CFPS): Design and practice. *Chinese Journal of Sociology (Shehui)*, 34, 1–33.

Appendix:

Table A1 Descriptive statistics

Variable	Observation	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
SWB indicators					
Life satisfaction	4686	3.415	0.994	1	5
Happiness	4471	4.028	0.896	1	5
Depression	4664	27.380	3.121	8	30
Internet use indicators					
Internet use intensity (hours/day)	4686	2.295	2.121	0.02	14
QQ use intensity (days/week)	3948	5.013	2.298	1	7
MSN use intensity (days/week)	457	3.882	2.512	1	7
Email use intensity (days/week)	2181	3.393	2.445	1	7
Blog	1233	2.015	1.160	1	4
Social networking site	679	2.440	1.250	1	4
Search engine	3709	2.915	1.231	1	4
Game website	1670	2.411	1.256	1	4
Business website	1748	2.173	1.165	1	4
Perceived importance of various purposes for Internet use					
Entertainment	4683	3.195	1.203	1	5
Study	4683	3.354	1.183	1	5
Work	4680	3.313	1.376	1	5
Social interaction	4680	3.140	1.226	1	5
Sharing innermost thoughts/feelings	4677	2.133	1.183	1	5
Seeking emotional support	4677	1.733	0.992	1	5
Seeking professional help	4675	2.216	1.280	1	5
Diversion/distraction	4680	2.745	1.362	1	5
Individual characteristics					
Age	4686	31.433	10.195	16	60
Gender	4686	0.561	0.496	0	1
Employment status	4686	0.705	0.456	0	1
Educational level					
Illiterate	4686	0.011	0.106	0	1
Primary school	4686	0.076	0.265	0	1
Middle school	4686	0.315	0.465	0	1
High school	4686	0.275	0.447	0	1
Vocational school	4686	0.182	0.386	0	1
University	4686	0.140	0.347	0	1
Marital status					
Unmarried	4686	0.322	0.467	0	1
Married	4686	0.650	0.477	0	1
Living together	4686	0.007	0.084	0	1
Divorced	4686	0.017	0.130	0	1
Widowed	4686	0.003	0.056	0	1
Relative income levels					
Very low	4686	0.235	0.424	0	1
Low	4686	0.243	0.429	0	1
Middle	4686	0.455	0.498	0	1
High	4686	0.060	0.238	0	1
Very high	4686	0.007	0.086	0	1
Self-reported health					
Very unhealthy	4686	0.002	0.046	0	1
Unhealthy	4686	0.016	0.124	0	1

Relatively unhealthy	4686	0.029	0.169	0	1
Fair	4686	0.337	0.473	0	1
Healthy	4686	0.616	0.486	0	1
Urban	4686	0.728	0.445	0	1

Source: *China Family Panel Studies 2010*.

Note. Life satisfaction is measured on a 5-point scale ranging from 1 = very unsatisfied to 5 = very satisfied, happiness is measured on a 5-point scale ranging from 1 = very unhappy to 5 = very happy, and depression is measured on a scale ranging from 6 to 30. Internet use intensity is measured in terms of hours per day. Participation in Internet use is a dummy (1 = yes, 0 = no). The importance of various purposes of surfing the Internet (entertainment; study; work; social interaction; sharing innermost thoughts and feelings, seeking emotional support, or seeking professional help from Internet friends; and diversion/distraction) is a binary variable (1 = very important/important, 0 otherwise), gender (1 = male, 0 = female), employment status (1 = currently employed, 0 = currently unemployed), and the dummy for urban resident (1 = urban, 0 = rural). Marital status is measured on a 5-point scale (1 = unmarried, 2 = married, 3 = living together, 4 = divorced, and 5 = widowed, with unmarried as the reference). Education is measured on a 6-point scale (1 = illiterate, 2 = primary school, 3 = middle school, 4 = high school, 5 = vocational school, and 6 = university or higher, with illiterate as the reference). Both relative income level (from 1 = very low to 5 = very high) and self-reported health are also measured on 5-point scales (the latter as 1 = very unhealthy, 2 = unhealthy, 3 = relatively unhealthy, 4 = fair and 5 = healthy, with very unhealthy as the reference).

Table A2 Ordered probit estimates/OLS for MSN use on subjective well-being (adults aged 16-60)

Variable	Ordered probit model (marginal effects)		OLS
	LS	Happiness	Depression
MSN use	0.025 (0.043)	-0.115** (0.052)	0.132 (0.737)
20-29	-0.156 (0.099)	-0.765*** (0.185)	0.448 (1.761)
30-39	-0.153 (0.101)	-0.801*** (0.186)	0.700 (1.805)
40-49	-0.052 (0.132)	-0.897*** (0.245)	1.555 (2.252)
50-60	-0.011 (0.136)	-0.860*** (0.261)	2.023 (2.255)
MSN use X 20-29	-0.021 (0.043)	0.124** (0.052)	-0.130 (0.740)
MSN use X 30-39	-0.019 (0.043)	0.122** (0.051)	-0.129 (0.758)
MSN use X 40-49	-0.034 (0.045)	0.152*** (0.058)	-0.122 (0.769)
MSN use X 50-60	-0.049 (0.046)	0.139** (0.063)	-0.116 (0.771)
Male	-0.057*** (0.016)	-0.131*** (0.035)	-0.002 (0.303)
Employed	-0.037 (0.028)	-0.054 (0.057)	-0.030 (0.433)
Educational level			
Primary school	-0.115 (0.123)	0.075 (0.193)	-7.527*** (1.789)
Middle school	0.029 (0.070)	0.584*** (0.172)	-2.718** (1.293)
High school	0.102 (0.070)	0.608*** (0.165)	-2.868** (1.268)
Vocational school	0.076 (0.069)	0.537*** (0.173)	-3.234** (1.272)
University or higher	0.095 (0.069)	0.585*** (0.169)	-3.238** (1.253)
Marital status			
Married	0.037* (0.021)	0.179*** (0.041)	1.295*** (0.333)
Living together	-0.028 (0.057)	0.489** (0.220)	1.531*** (0.549)
Divorced	-0.023 (0.066)	-0.178 (0.119)	0.773 (0.804)
Widowed			
Income ladder 2nd	0.070*** (0.027)	0.058 (0.070)	0.767 (0.610)
Income ladder 3rd	0.119*** (0.027)	0.122* (0.065)	1.207* (0.554)
Income ladder 4th	0.195*** (0.035)	0.145* (0.085)	0.928 (0.713)
Income ladder 5th	0.297*** (0.035)	0.244*** (0.073)	4.344*** (0.826)
Urban	0.004 (0.026)	0.059 (0.057)	0.102 (0.497)
Self-reported health			
Unhealthy	-0.108 (0.070)	-0.399*** (0.121)	-3.001 (2.371)
Relatively unhealthy	-0.043 (0.057)	-0.137 (0.123)	-
Fair	-0.047*** (0.016)	-0.050 (0.036)	0.387 (1.070)
Healthy	-	-	1.998* (1.062)
<i>N</i>	457	441	455

Pseudo R^2 / Adj. R^2	0.110	0.109	0.157
---------------------------	-------	-------	-------

Note. The dependent variable is life satisfaction (measured on a 5-point scale), happiness (measured on a 5-point scale) and depression (measured on a scale ranging from 6 to 30). Controls are MSN use intensity (days/week), dummies of age groups (1=16-19, 2=20-29, 3=30-39, 4=40-49 and 5=50-60, 16-19 as the reference group), the interaction of dummies of age groups and MSN use intensity, male (1=male, 0=female), employment status (1=currently employed, 0=currently unemployed), marital status (measured on a 5-point scale, 1=unmarried, 2=married, 3=living together, 4=divorced and 5=widowed, unmarried as the reference), education (measured on a 6-point scale, 1=illiterate, 2=primary school, 3=middle school, 4=high school, 5=vocational school and 6=university or higher, illiterate as the reference), self-reported income ladder (measured on a 5-point scale, from 1=very low to 5=very high), urban dummy (1=urban, 0=rural), provincial dummies (Beijing as the reference) and self-reported health (measured on a 5-point scale, 1=very unhealthy, 2=unhealthy, 3=relatively unhealthy, 4=fair and 5=healthy, very unhealthy as the reference). Marginal effects are reported for life satisfaction and happiness. Village/neighborhood-clustered robust standard errors are in parentheses, * $p < 0.1$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$.

Table A3 Ordered probit estimates/OLS for social networking site (SNS) use on subjective well-being (adults aged 16-60)

Variable	Ordered probit model (marginal effects)		OLS
	LS	Happiness	Depression
SNS: several times/month	-0.001 (0.069)	-0.024 (0.227)	1.117 (0.949)
SNS: several times/week	0.026 (0.062)	-0.325 (0.219)	1.638* (0.861)
SNS: almost every day	0.009 (0.067)	-0.106 (0.242)	-0.739 (1.004)
20-29	-0.097* (0.050)	-0.144 (0.139)	0.561 (0.734)
30-39	-0.102* (0.055)	-0.274* (0.144)	-0.576 (0.865)
40-49	-0.062 (0.067)	-0.304* (0.167)	-0.950 (1.198)
50-60	-0.011 (0.095)	0.107 (0.262)	2.944 (1.828)
20-29 X SNS: several times/month	0.012 (0.075)	0.049 (0.236)	-1.470 (1.052)
20-29 X SNS: several times/week	-0.017 (0.066)	0.329 (0.225)	-1.989** (0.927)
20-29 X SNS: almost every day	0.019 (0.069)	0.142 (0.243)	0.391 (1.044)
30-39 X SNS: several times/month	-0.046 (0.090)	-0.104 (0.254)	-0.092 (1.060)
30-39 X SNS: several times/week	-0.042 (0.070)	0.287 (0.228)	-1.897 (1.281)
30-39 X SNS: almost every day	-0.003 (0.075)	0.119 (0.248)	0.397 (1.248)
40-49 X SNS: several times/month	0.020 (0.107)	0.257 (0.284)	-1.016 (1.832)
40-49 X SNS: several times/week	0.002 (0.086)	0.232 (0.263)	-0.100 (1.544)
40-49 X SNS: almost every day	0.019 (0.122)	0.178 (0.273)	4.013*** (1.440)
50-60 X SNS: several times/month	-	-	-
50-60 X SNS: several times/week	-	-	-
50-60 X SNS: almost every day	-1.039*** (0.139)	-0.842*** (0.269)	0.859 (1.865)
Male	-0.030** (0.014)	-0.049 (0.030)	0.131 (0.240)
Employed	-0.023 (0.021)	0.007 (0.042)	0.163 (0.367)
Educational level			
Primary school	-0.110 (0.109)	0.204 (0.157)	1.750 (1.437)
Middle school	-0.095 (0.099)	0.178 (0.137)	1.535 (1.272)
High school	-0.111 (0.098)	0.133 (0.127)	2.518* (1.289)
Vocational school	-0.103 (0.098)	0.167 (0.126)	1.753 (1.258)
University or higher	-0.099 (0.098)	0.141 (0.122)	1.933 (1.299)
Marital status			
Married	0.058*** (0.017)	0.218*** (0.032)	1.070*** (0.279)
Living together	-0.048 (0.063)	0.219 (0.138)	0.062 (1.420)
Divorced	-0.089 (0.056)	0.018 (0.114)	1.865** (0.773)
Widowed	0.002 (0.146)	-0.263 (0.229)	0.334 (1.907)
Income ladder 2nd	0.037* (0.016)	0.016 (0.016)	-0.255 (0.016)

	(0.021)	(0.048)	(0.390)
Income ladder 3rd	0.077***	0.058	0.441
	(0.021)	(0.044)	(0.379)
Income ladder 4th	0.078**	0.075	1.470**
	(0.034)	(0.079)	(0.616)
Income ladder 5th	0.255***	0.355**	1.922***
	(0.078)	(0.143)	(0.722)
Urban	-0.018	0.038	-0.197
	(0.017)	(0.042)	(0.339)
Self-reported health			
Unhealthy	0.154	-1.533***	2.670
	(0.117)	(0.243)	(2.347)
Relatively unhealthy	0.237***	-1.547***	3.066
	(0.088)	(0.243)	(2.402)
Fair	0.299***	-1.453***	4.691***
	(0.077)	(0.219)	(1.657)
Healthy	0.365***	-1.298**	6.247***
	(0.078)	(0.218)	(1.650)
<i>N</i>	679	618	674
Pseudo R^2 /Adj. R^2	0.072	0.096	0.122

Note. The dependent variable is life satisfaction (measured on a 5-point scale), happiness (measured on a 5-point scale), or depression (measured on a scale from 6 to 30). the controls are social networking site (SNS) use intensity (1 = rarely, 2 = several times/month, 3 = several times/week and 4 = almost every day), an age group dummy (1 = 16–19, 2 = 20–29, 3 = 30–39, 4 = 40–49, and 5 = 50–60, with 16–19 as the reference group), the interaction of the age group dummy and social networking site use intensity, male (1 = male, 0 = female), employment status (1 = currently employed, 0 = currently unemployed), marital status (measured on a 5-point scale: 1 = unmarried, 2 = married, 3 = living together, 4 = divorced and 5 = widowed, with unmarried as the reference), education (measured on a 6-point scale: 1 = illiterate, 2 = primary school, 3 = middle school, 4 = high school, 5 = vocational school and 6 = university or higher, with illiterate as the reference), self-reported income ladder (measured on a 5-point scale from 1 = very low to 5 = very high), an urban dummy (1 = urban, 0 = rural), a provincial dummy (with Beijing as the reference), and self-reported health (measured on a 5-point scale: 1 = very unhealthy, 2 = unhealthy, 3 = relatively unhealthy, 4 = fair, and 5 = healthy, with very unhealthy as the reference). Marginal effects are reported for life satisfaction and happiness. Village/neighborhood-clustered robust standard errors are in parentheses, * $p < 0.1$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$.

Hohenheim Discussion Papers in Business, Economics and Social Sciences

The Faculty of Business, Economics and Social Sciences continues since 2015 the established "FZID Discussion Paper Series" of the "Centre for Research on Innovation and Services (FZID)" under the name "Hohenheim Discussion Papers in Business, Economics and Social Sciences".

Institutes

- 510 Institute of Financial Management
- 520 Institute of Economics
- 530 Institute of Health Care & Public Management
- 540 Institute of Communication Science
- 550 Institute of Law and Social Sciences
- 560 Institute of Economic and Business Education
- 570 Institute of Marketing & Management
- 580 Institute of Interorganisational Management & Performance

Download Hohenheim Discussion Papers in Business, Economics and Social Sciences from our homepage: <https://wiso.uni-hohenheim.de/papers>

Nr.	Autor	Titel	Inst.
01-2015	Thomas Beissinger, Philipp Baudy	THE IMPACT OF TEMPORARY AGENCY WORK ON TRADE UNION WAGE SETTING: A Theoretical Analysis	520
02-2015	Fabian Wahl	PARTICIPATIVE POLITICAL INSTITUTIONS AND CITY DEVELOPMENT 800-1800	520
03-2015	Tommaso Proietti, Martyna Marczak, Gianluigi Mazzi	EUROMIND-D: A DENSITY ESTIMATE OF MONTHLY GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT FOR THE EURO AREA	520
04-2015	Thomas Beissinger, Nathalie Chusseau, Joël Hellier	OFFSHORING AND LABOUR MARKET REFORMS: MODELLING THE GERMAN EXPERIENCE	520
05-2015	Matthias Mueller, Kristina Bogner, Tobias Buchmann, Muhamed Kudic	SIMULATING KNOWLEDGE DIFFUSION IN FOUR STRUCTURALLY DISTINCT NETWORKS – AN AGENT-BASED SIMULATION MODEL	520
06-2015	Martyna Marczak, Thomas Beissinger	BIDIRECTIONAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INVESTOR SENTIMENT AND EXCESS RETURNS: NEW EVIDENCE FROM THE WAVELET PERSPECTIVE	520
07-2015	Peng Nie, Galit Nimrod, Alfonso Sousa-Poza	INTERNET USE AND SUBJECTIVE WELL-BEING IN CHINA	530

FZID Discussion Papers

(published 2009-2014)

Competence Centers

IK	Innovation and Knowledge
ICT	Information Systems and Communication Systems
CRFM	Corporate Finance and Risk Management
HCM	Health Care Management
CM	Communication Management
MM	Marketing Management
ECO	Economics

Download FZID Discussion Papers from our homepage: https://wiso.uni-hohenheim.de/archiv_fzid_papers

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
01-2009	Julian P. Christ	NEW ECONOMIC GEOGRAPHY RELOADED: Localized Knowledge Spillovers and the Geography of Innovation	IK
02-2009	André P. Slowak	MARKET FIELD STRUCTURE & DYNAMICS IN INDUSTRIAL AUTOMATION	IK
03-2009	Pier Paolo Saviotti, Andreas Pyka	GENERALIZED BARRIERS TO ENTRY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	IK
04-2009	Uwe Focht, Andreas Richter and Jörg Schiller	INTERMEDIATION AND MATCHING IN INSURANCE MARKETS	HCM
05-2009	Julian P. Christ, André P. Slowak	WHY BLU-RAY VS. HD-DVD IS NOT VHS VS. BETAMAX: THE CO-EVOLUTION OF STANDARD-SETTING CONSORTIA	IK
06-2009	Gabriel Felbermayr, Mario Larch and Wolfgang Lechthaler	UNEMPLOYMENT IN AN INTERDEPENDENT WORLD	ECO
07-2009	Steffen Otterbach	MISMATCHES BETWEEN ACTUAL AND PREFERRED WORK TIME: Empirical Evidence of Hours Constraints in 21 Countries	HCM
08-2009	Sven Wydra	PRODUCTION AND EMPLOYMENT IMPACTS OF NEW TECHNOLOGIES – ANALYSIS FOR BIOTECHNOLOGY	IK
09-2009	Ralf Richter, Jochen Streb	CATCHING-UP AND FALLING BEHIND KNOWLEDGE SPILLOVER FROM AMERICAN TO GERMAN MACHINE TOOL MAKERS	IK

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
10-2010	Rahel Aichele, Gabriel Felbermayr	KYOTO AND THE CARBON CONTENT OF TRADE	ECO
11-2010	David E. Bloom, Alfonso Sousa-Poza	ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES OF LOW FERTILITY IN EUROPE	HCM
12-2010	Michael Ahlheim, Oliver Frör	DRINKING AND PROTECTING – A MARKET APPROACH TO THE PRESERVATION OF CORK OAK LANDSCAPES	ECO
13-2010	Michael Ahlheim, Oliver Frör, Antonia Heinke, Nguyen Minh Duc, and Pham Van Dinh	LABOUR AS A UTILITY MEASURE IN CONTINGENT VALUATION STUDIES – HOW GOOD IS IT REALLY?	ECO
14-2010	Julian P. Christ	THE GEOGRAPHY AND CO-LOCATION OF EUROPEAN TECHNOLOGY-SPECIFIC CO-INVENTORSHIP NETWORKS	IK
15-2010	Harald Degner	WINDOWS OF TECHNOLOGICAL OPPORTUNITY DO TECHNOLOGICAL BOOMS INFLUENCE THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FIRM SIZE AND INNOVATIVENESS?	IK
16-2010	Tobias A. Jopp	THE WELFARE STATE EVOLVES: GERMAN KNAPPSCHAFTEN, 1854-1923	HCM
17-2010	Stefan Kirn (Ed.)	PROCESS OF CHANGE IN ORGANISATIONS THROUGH eHEALTH	ICT
18-2010	Jörg Schiller	ÖKONOMISCHE ASPEKTE DER ENTLOHNUNG UND REGULIERUNG UNABHÄNGIGER VERSICHERUNGSVERMITTLER	HCM
19-2010	Frauke Lammers, Jörg Schiller	CONTRACT DESIGN AND INSURANCE FRAUD: AN EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION	HCM
20-2010	Martyna Marczak, Thomas Beissinger	REAL WAGES AND THE BUSINESS CYCLE IN GERMANY	ECO
21-2010	Harald Degner, Jochen Streb	FOREIGN PATENTING IN GERMANY, 1877-1932	IK
22-2010	Heiko Stüber, Thomas Beissinger	DOES DOWNWARD NOMINAL WAGE RIGIDITY DAMPEN WAGE INCREASES?	ECO
23-2010	Mark Spoerer, Jochen Streb	GUNS AND BUTTER – BUT NO MARGARINE: THE IMPACT OF NAZI ECONOMIC POLICIES ON GERMAN FOOD CONSUMPTION, 1933-38	ECO

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
24-2011	Dhammika Dharmapala, Nadine Riedel	EARNINGS SHOCKS AND TAX-MOTIVATED INCOME-SHIFTING: EVIDENCE FROM EUROPEAN MULTINATIONALS	ECO
25-2011	Michael Schuele, Stefan Kirn	QUALITATIVES, RÄUMLICHES SCHLIEßEN ZUR KOLLISIONSERKENNUNG UND KOLLISIONSVERMEIDUNG AUTONOMER BDI-AGENTEN	ICT
26-2011	Marcus Müller, Guillaume Stern, Ansgar Jacob and Stefan Kirn	VERHALTENSMODELLE FÜR SOFTWAREAGENTEN IM PUBLIC GOODS GAME	ICT
27-2011	Monnet Benoit, Patrick Gbakoua and Alfonso Sousa-Poza	ENGEL CURVES, SPATIAL VARIATION IN PRICES AND DEMAND FOR COMMODITIES IN CÔTE D'IVOIRE	ECO
28-2011	Nadine Riedel, Hannah Schildberg-Hörisch	ASYMMETRIC OBLIGATIONS	ECO
29-2011	Nicole Waidlein	CAUSES OF PERSISTENT PRODUCTIVITY DIFFERENCES IN THE WEST GERMAN STATES IN THE PERIOD FROM 1950 TO 1990	IK
30-2011	Dominik Hartmann, Atilio Arata	MEASURING SOCIAL CAPITAL AND INNOVATION IN POOR AGRICULTURAL COMMUNITIES. THE CASE OF CHÁPARRA - PERU	IK
31-2011	Peter Spahn	DIE WÄHRUNGSKRISEUNION DIE EURO-VERSCHULDUNG DER NATIONALSTAATEN ALS SCHWACHSTELLE DER EWU	ECO
32-2011	Fabian Wahl	DIE ENTWICKLUNG DES LEBENSSTANDARDS IM DRITTEN REICH – EINE GLÜCKSÖKONOMISCHE PERSPEKTIVE	ECO
33-2011	Giorgio Triulzi, Ramon Scholz and Andreas Pyka	R&D AND KNOWLEDGE DYNAMICS IN UNIVERSITY-INDUSTRY RELATIONSHIPS IN BIOTECH AND PHARMACEUTICALS: AN AGENT-BASED MODEL	IK
34-2011	Claus D. Müller-Hengstenberg, Stefan Kirn	ANWENDUNG DES ÖFFENTLICHEN VERGABERECHTS AUF MODERNE IT SOFTWAREENTWICKLUNGSVERFAHREN	ICT
35-2011	Andreas Pyka	AVOIDING EVOLUTIONARY INEFFICIENCIES IN INNOVATION NETWORKS	IK
36-2011	David Bell, Steffen Otterbach and Alfonso Sousa-Poza	WORK HOURS CONSTRAINTS AND HEALTH	HCM
37-2011	Lukas Scheffknecht, Felix Geiger	A BEHAVIORAL MACROECONOMIC MODEL WITH ENDOGENOUS BOOM-BUST CYCLES AND LEVERAGE DYNAMICS	ECO
38-2011	Yin Krogmann, Ulrich Schwalbe	INTER-FIRM R&D NETWORKS IN THE GLOBAL PHARMACEUTICAL BIOTECHNOLOGY INDUSTRY DURING 1985–1998: A CONCEPTUAL AND EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS	IK

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
39-2011	Michael Ahlheim, Tobias Börger and Oliver Frör	RESPONDENT INCENTIVES IN CONTINGENT VALUATION: THE ROLE OF RECIPROCITY	ECO
40-2011	Tobias Börger	A DIRECT TEST OF SOCIALLY DESIRABLE RESPONDING IN CONTINGENT VALUATION INTERVIEWS	ECO
41-2011	Ralf Rukwid, Julian P. Christ	QUANTITATIVE CLUSTERIDENTIFIKATION AUF EBENE DER DEUTSCHEN STADT- UND LANDKREISE (1999-2008)	IK

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
42-2012	Benjamin Schön, Andreas Pyka	A TAXONOMY OF INNOVATION NETWORKS	IK
43-2012	Dirk Foremny, Nadine Riedel	BUSINESS TAXES AND THE ELECTORAL CYCLE	ECO
44-2012	Gisela Di Meglio, Andreas Pyka and Luis Rubalcaba	VARIETIES OF SERVICE ECONOMIES IN EUROPE	IK
45-2012	Ralf Rukwid, Julian P. Christ	INNOVATIONSPOTENTIALE IN BADEN-WÜRTTEMBERG: PRODUKTIONSCLUSTER IM BEREICH „METALL, ELEKTRO, IKT“ UND REGIONALE VERFÜGBARKEIT AKADEMISCHER FACHKRÄFTE IN DEN MINT-FÄCHERN	IK
46-2012	Julian P. Christ, Ralf Rukwid	INNOVATIONSPOTENTIALE IN BADEN-WÜRTTEMBERG: BRANCHENSPEZIFISCHE FORSCHUNGS- UND ENTWICKLUNGSAKTIVITÄT, REGIONALES PATENTAUFKOMMEN UND BESCHÄFTIGUNGSSTRUKTUR	IK
47-2012	Oliver Sauter	ASSESSING UNCERTAINTY IN EUROPE AND THE US - IS THERE A COMMON FACTOR?	ECO
48-2012	Dominik Hartmann	SEN MEETS SCHUMPETER. INTRODUCING STRUCTURAL AND DYNAMIC ELEMENTS INTO THE HUMAN CAPABILITY APPROACH	IK
49-2012	Harold Paredes- Frigolett, Andreas Pyka	DISTAL EMBEDDING AS A TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION NETWORK FORMATION STRATEGY	IK
50-2012	Martyna Marczak, Víctor Gómez	CYCLICALITY OF REAL WAGES IN THE USA AND GERMANY: NEW INSIGHTS FROM WAVELET ANALYSIS	ECO
51-2012	André P. Slowak	DIE DURCHSETZUNG VON SCHNITTSTELLEN IN DER STANDARDSETZUNG: FALLBEISPIEL LADESYSYSTEM ELEKTROMOBILITÄT	IK
52-2012	Fabian Wahl	WHY IT MATTERS WHAT PEOPLE THINK - BELIEFS, LEGAL ORIGINS AND THE DEEP ROOTS OF TRUST	ECO
53-2012	Dominik Hartmann, Micha Kaiser	STATISTISCHER ÜBERBLICK DER TÜRKISCHEN MIGRATION IN BADEN-WÜRTTEMBERG UND DEUTSCHLAND	IK
54-2012	Dominik Hartmann, Andreas Pyka, Seda Aydin, Lena Klauß, Fabian Stahl, Ali Santircioglu, Silvia Oberegelsbacher, Sheida Rashidi, Gaye Onan and Suna Erginkoç	IDENTIFIZIERUNG UND ANALYSE DEUTSCH-TÜRKISCHER INNOVATIONSNETZWERKE. ERSTE ERGEBNISSE DES TGIN- PROJEKTES	IK
55-2012	Michael Ahlheim, Tobias Börger and Oliver Frör	THE ECOLOGICAL PRICE OF GETTING RICH IN A GREEN DESERT: A CONTINGENT VALUATION STUDY IN RURAL SOUTHWEST CHINA	ECO

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
56-2012	Matthias Strifler Thomas Beissinger	FAIRNESS CONSIDERATIONS IN LABOR UNION WAGE SETTING – A THEORETICAL ANALYSIS	ECO
57-2012	Peter Spahn	INTEGRATION DURCH WÄHRUNGSUNION? DER FALL DER EURO-ZONE	ECO
58-2012	Sibylle H. Lehmann	TAKING FIRMS TO THE STOCK MARKET: IPOS AND THE IMPORTANCE OF LARGE BANKS IN IMPERIAL GERMANY 1896-1913	ECO
59-2012	Sibylle H. Lehmann, Philipp Hauber and Alexander Opitz	POLITICAL RIGHTS, TAXATION, AND FIRM VALUATION – EVIDENCE FROM SAXONY AROUND 1900	ECO
60-2012	Martyna Marczak, Víctor Gómez	SPECTRAN, A SET OF MATLAB PROGRAMS FOR SPECTRAL ANALYSIS	ECO
61-2012	Theresa Lohse, Nadine Riedel	THE IMPACT OF TRANSFER PRICING REGULATIONS ON PROFIT SHIFTING WITHIN EUROPEAN MULTINATIONALS	ECO

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
62-2013	Heiko Stüber	REAL WAGE CYCLICALITY OF NEWLY HIRED WORKERS	ECO
63-2013	David E. Bloom, Alfonso Sousa-Poza	AGEING AND PRODUCTIVITY	HCM
64-2013	Martyna Marczak, V́ctor Ǵmez	MONTHLY US BUSINESS CYCLE INDICATORS: A NEW MULTIVARIATE APPROACH BASED ON A BAND-PASS FILTER	ECO
65-2013	Dominik Hartmann, Andreas Pyka	INNOVATION, ECONOMIC DIVERSIFICATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT	IK
66-2013	Christof Ernst, Katharina Richter and Nadine Riedel	CORPORATE TAXATION AND THE QUALITY OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	ECO
67-2013	Michael Ahlheim, Oliver Frór, Jiang Tong, Luo Jing and Sonna Pelz	NONUSE VALUES OF CLIMATE POLICY - AN EMPIRICAL STUDY IN XINJIANG AND BEIJING	ECO
68-2013	Michael Ahlheim, Friedrich Schneider	CONSIDERING HOUSEHOLD SIZE IN CONTINGENT VALUATION STUDIES	ECO
69-2013	Fabio Bertoni, Tereza Tykvová	WHICH FORM OF VENTURE CAPITAL IS MOST SUPPORTIVE OF INNOVATION? EVIDENCE FROM EUROPEAN BIOTECHNOLOGY COMPANIES	CFRM
70-2013	Tobias Buchmann, Andreas Pyka	THE EVOLUTION OF INNOVATION NETWORKS: THE CASE OF A GERMAN AUTOMOTIVE NETWORK	IK
71-2013	B. Vermeulen, A. Pyka, J. A. La Poutré and A. G. de Kok	CAPABILITY-BASED GOVERNANCE PATTERNS OVER THE PRODUCT LIFE-CYCLE	IK
72-2013	Beatriz Fabiola López Ulloa, Valerie Møller and Alfonso Sousa- Poza	HOW DOES SUBJECTIVE WELL-BEING EVOLVE WITH AGE? A LITERATURE REVIEW	HCM
73-2013	Wencke Gwozdz, Alfonso Sousa-Poza, Lucia A. Reisch, Wolfgang Ahrens, Stefaan De Henauw, Gabriele Eiben, Juan M. Fernández-Alvira, Charalampos Hadjigeorgiou, Eva Kovács, Fabio Lauria, Toomas Veidebaum, Garrath Williams, Karin Bammann	MATERNAL EMPLOYMENT AND CHILDHOOD OBESITY – A EUROPEAN PERSPECTIVE	HCM
74-2013	Andreas Haas, Annette Hofmann	RISIKEN AUS CLOUD-COMPUTING-SERVICES: FRAGEN DES RISIKOMANAGEMENTS UND ASPEKTE DER VERSICHERBARKEIT	HCM

75-2013	Yin Krogmann, Nadine Riedel and Ulrich Schwalbe	INTER-FIRM R&D NETWORKS IN PHARMACEUTICAL BIOTECHNOLOGY: WHAT DETERMINES FIRM'S CENTRALITY-BASED PARTNERING CAPABILITY?	ECO, IK
76-2013	Peter Spahn	MACROECONOMIC STABILISATION AND BANK LENDING: A SIMPLE WORKHORSE MODEL	ECO
77-2013	Sheida Rashidi, Andreas Pyka	MIGRATION AND INNOVATION – A SURVEY	IK
78-2013	Benjamin Schön, Andreas Pyka	THE SUCCESS FACTORS OF TECHNOLOGY-SOURCING THROUGH MERGERS & ACQUISITIONS – AN INTUITIVE META- ANALYSIS	IK
79-2013	Irene Prostoplow, Andreas Pyka and Barbara Heller-Schuh	TURKISH-GERMAN INNOVATION NETWORKS IN THE EUROPEAN RESEARCH LANDSCAPE	IK
80-2013	Eva Schlenker, Kai D. Schmid	CAPITAL INCOME SHARES AND INCOME INEQUALITY IN THE EUROPEAN UNION	ECO
81-2013	Michael Ahlheim, Tobias Börger and Oliver Frör	THE INFLUENCE OF ETHNICITY AND CULTURE ON THE VALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENTS – RESULTS FROM A CVM STUDY IN SOUTHWEST CHINA –	ECO
82-2013	Fabian Wahl	DOES MEDIEVAL TRADE STILL MATTER? HISTORICAL TRADE CENTERS, AGGLOMERATION AND CONTEMPORARY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	ECO
83-2013	Peter Spahn	SUBPRIME AND EURO CRISIS: SHOULD WE BLAME THE ECONOMISTS?	ECO
84-2013	Daniel Guffarth, Michael J. Barber	THE EUROPEAN AEROSPACE R&D COLLABORATION NETWORK	IK
85-2013	Athanasios Saitis	KARTELLBEKÄMPFUNG UND INTERNE KARTELLSTRUKTUREN: EIN NETZWERKTHEORETISCHER ANSATZ	IK

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
86-2014	Stefan Kirn, Claus D. Müller-Hengstenberg	INTELLIGENTE (SOFTWARE-)AGENTEN: EINE NEUE HERAUSFORDERUNG FÜR DIE GESELLSCHAFT UND UNSER RECHTSSYSTEM?	ICT
87-2014	Peng Nie, Alfonso Sousa-Poza	MATERNAL EMPLOYMENT AND CHILDHOOD OBESITY IN CHINA: EVIDENCE FROM THE CHINA HEALTH AND NUTRITION SURVEY	HCM
88-2014	Steffen Otterbach, Alfonso Sousa-Poza	JOB INSECURITY, EMPLOYABILITY, AND HEALTH: AN ANALYSIS FOR GERMANY ACROSS GENERATIONS	HCM
89-2014	Carsten Burhop, Sibylle H. Lehmann-Hasemeyer	THE GEOGRAPHY OF STOCK EXCHANGES IN IMPERIAL GERMANY	ECO
90-2014	Martyna Marczak, Tommaso Proietti	OUTLIER DETECTION IN STRUCTURAL TIME SERIES MODELS: THE INDICATOR SATURATION APPROACH	ECO
91-2014	Sophie Urmetzer, Andreas Pyka	VARIETIES OF KNOWLEDGE-BASED BIOECONOMIES	IK
92-2014	Bogang Jun, Joongho Lee	THE TRADEOFF BETWEEN FERTILITY AND EDUCATION: EVIDENCE FROM THE KOREAN DEVELOPMENT PATH	IK
93-2014	Bogang Jun, Tai-Yoo Kim	NON-FINANCIAL HURDLES FOR HUMAN CAPITAL ACCUMULATION: LANDOWNERSHIP IN KOREA UNDER JAPANESE RULE	IK
94-2014	Michael Ahlheim, Oliver Frör, Gerhard Langenberger and Sonna Pelz	CHINESE URBANITES AND THE PRESERVATION OF RARE SPECIES IN REMOTE PARTS OF THE COUNTRY – THE EXAMPLE OF EAGLEWOOD	ECO
95-2014	Harold Paredes-Frigolett, Andreas Pyka, Javier Pereira and Luiz Flávio Autran Monteiro Gomes	RANKING THE PERFORMANCE OF NATIONAL INNOVATION SYSTEMS IN THE IBERIAN PENINSULA AND LATIN AMERICA FROM A NEO-SCHUMPETERIAN ECONOMICS PERSPECTIVE	IK
96-2014	Daniel Guffarth, Michael J. Barber	NETWORK EVOLUTION, SUCCESS, AND REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE EUROPEAN AEROSPACE INDUSTRY	IK

IMPRINT

University of Hohenheim

Dean's Office of the Faculty of Business, Economics and Social Sciences

Speisemeistereiflügel – 120

70593 Stuttgart | Germany

Fon +49 (0)711 459 22488

Fax +49 (0)711 459 22785

E-mail wiso@uni-hohenheim.de

Web www.wiso.uni-hohenheim.de